

NOTES ON  
ESTIMATING VARIANCE COMPONENTS FROM UNBALANCED DATA IN  
MIXED MODELS OF THE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

BU-506-M

by

April, 1974

S. R. Searle

Biometrics Unit, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

Abstract

An outline is given of 6 available methods for estimating variance components from unbalanced data in mixed models of the analysis of variance.

NOTES ON  
ESTIMATING VARIANCE COMPONENTS FROM UNBALANCED DATA IN  
MIXED MODELS OF THE ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

BU-506-M

by

April, 1974

S. R. Searle

Biometrics Unit, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York

Introduction

Confine attention to the 2-way crossed classification model:

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

$$i = 1 \cdots a \quad j = 1 \cdots b \quad k = 1 \cdots n_{ij} \quad \sum_{ij} n_{ij} = N$$

Fixed effects model

Balanced data

All  $n_{ij} = n$ : the analysis of variance is familiar.

Mean	1	$ab\bar{y}^2_{\dots}$
Rows	$a-1$	$SSA = \sum b\bar{y}^2_{i..} - ab\bar{y}^2_{\dots}$
Columns	$b-1$	$SSB = \sum a\bar{y}^2_{.j.} - ab\bar{y}^2_{\dots}$
Interaction	$(a-1)(b-1)$	$SSAB = \sum \sum \bar{y}^2_{ij.} - \sum b\bar{y}^2_{i..} - \sum a\bar{y}^2_{.j.} + ab\bar{y}^2_{\dots}$
Residual	$ab(n-1)$	$SSE = \sum \sum \sum y^2_{ijk} - \sum \sum \bar{y}^2_{ij.}$
Total	$abn$	$\sum \sum \sum y^2_{ijk}$

Unbalanced data:  $s$  cells containing data.

2 partitionings of sums of squares.

<u>Rows before columns</u>		<u>OR</u>	<u>Columns before rows</u>	
$R(\mu)$	1		$R(\mu)$	1
$R(\alpha \mu)$	$a-1$		$R(\beta \mu)$	$b-1$
$R(\beta \mu, \alpha)$	$b-1$		$R(\alpha \mu, \beta)$	$a-1$
$R(\gamma \mu, \alpha, \beta)$	$s-a-b+1$		$R(\gamma \mu, \alpha, \beta)$	$s-a-b+1$
SSE	$N-s$		SSE	$N-s$
Total	$N$		Total	$N$

Mixed model:

$\beta_j$ 's remain as fixed effects

$\alpha_i$ 's random

$\gamma_{ij}$ 's random

$$E(\alpha_i) = 0$$

$$E(\gamma_{ij}) = 0$$

$$\text{var}(\underline{\alpha}) = \sigma_{\alpha}^2 \mathbf{I}_{a-a}$$

$$\text{var}(\underline{\gamma}) = \sigma_{\gamma}^2 \mathbf{I}_{s}$$

$s = ab$  for balanced data

Want to estimate:  $\mu$ ,  $\beta$ 's,  $\sigma_{\alpha}^2$ ,  $\sigma_{\gamma}^2$  and  $\sigma_e^2$

Balanced data:

Use part of analysis of variance table for fixed effects model

$$E(\text{SSA}) = (a-1)(bn\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + n\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + \sigma_e^2)$$

$$E(\text{SSAB}) = (a-1)(b-1)(n\sigma_{\gamma}^2 + \sigma_e^2)$$

$$E(\text{SSE}) = ab(n-1)\sigma_e^2$$

Estimators

$$\text{SSA} = (a-1)(bn\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha}^2 + n\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_e^2)$$

$$\text{SSAB} = (a-1)(b-1)(n\hat{\sigma}_{\gamma}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_e^2)$$

$$\text{SSE} = ab(n-1)\hat{\sigma}_e^2$$

Properties of estimators: unbiased

minimum variance quadratic unbiased

under normality, minimum variance unbiased

Unbalanced data:

Variety of methods available, several based on same principle as preceding:

Develop  $\underline{q}$  as a vector of quadratic forms in  $\underline{y}$

Derive  $E(\underline{q})$ ; each element will be a linear combination of variance components, elements of  $\underline{\sigma}^2$ .

$$E(\underline{q}) = \underline{C}\underline{\sigma}^2 \text{ for some } \underline{C}$$

$$\text{Estimation: } \hat{\underline{\sigma}}^2 = \underline{C}^{-1}\underline{q}$$

Question: What quadratics are used as elements for  $\underline{q}$  ?

0. Analysis of variance method (Henderson's [1953] Method 1)

This method uses quadratic forms analogous to sums of squares of balanced data ANOVA, e.g.,

$$SSA^* = \sum_i n_{i.} \bar{y}_{i..}^2 - N \bar{y}^2 \dots$$

$$SSAB^* = \sum_{ij} n_{ij} \bar{y}_{ij.}^2 - \sum_i n_{i.} \bar{y}_{i..}^2 - \sum_j n_{.j} \bar{y}_{.j.}^2 + N \bar{y}^2 \dots$$

Note:  $SSAB^*$  is not positive definite; it is not a sum of squares.

Estimation: equate  $SS^*$ 's to expectations

Properties: easy to compute

unbiased for random models

sampling variances available for 1, 2 and 3-way classifications

not unbiased for mixed models, because the fixed effects,  $\beta_j$ 's,

occur in  $E(SS^*)$ 's.

1. Henderson's [1953] Method 2

Designed to overcome biasedness of Method 1 for mixed models.

Retains relative ease of computing.

Principle: "Correct" data for fixed effects

Use Method 1 on corrected data

Make slight adjustments.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \underline{\underline{y}} & = & \underline{\underline{X}}\underline{\underline{b}} & + & \underline{\underline{Z}}\underline{\underline{u}} & + & \underline{\underline{e}} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \text{fixed} & & \text{random} & & \end{array}$$

Use normal equations as if  $\underline{\underline{u}}$  were fixed:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{X}}'\underline{\underline{X}} & \underline{\underline{X}}'\underline{\underline{Z}} \\ \underline{\underline{Z}}'\underline{\underline{X}} & \underline{\underline{Z}}'\underline{\underline{Z}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{b}}^0 \\ \underline{\underline{u}}^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\underline{X}}'\underline{\underline{y}} \\ \underline{\underline{Z}}'\underline{\underline{y}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Correct for  $\underline{\underline{b}}$ :

$$\underline{\underline{z}} = \underline{\underline{y}} - \underline{\underline{X}}\underline{\underline{b}}^0 = \underline{\underline{\mu}}^* \underline{\underline{1}} + \underline{\underline{Z}}\underline{\underline{u}} + \underline{\underline{K}}\underline{\underline{e}}, \text{ for some } \underline{\underline{K}}.$$

Use Method 1 on  $\underline{\underline{z}}$  just as if it were  $\underline{\underline{y}}$  without fixed effects.

Adjustments: to coefficients of  $\sigma_e^2$  in  $E(SS's)$ , to account for  $\underline{\underline{K}}$ .

Condition: no interactions, fixed-by-random

History: Henderson [1953]: first described, and not clear.

Searle [1968]: generalized, clarified, decried as not invariant.

Henderson, Searle and Schaeffer [1974]: invariance established,  
and computing procedure described.

## 2. Fitting constants method (Henderson's [1953] Method 3)

Use  $R(\ )$ 's of fitting constants for fixed effects models

$$E R(\alpha, \gamma | \mu, \beta) = c_1 \sigma_\alpha^2 + c_1 \sigma_\gamma^2 + (s-b) \sigma_e^2$$

$$E R(\gamma | \mu, \alpha, \beta) = c_2 \sigma_\gamma^2 + (s-a-b+1) \sigma_e^2$$

$$E SSE = (N-s) \sigma_e^2$$

or, if no interaction

$$E R(\alpha | \mu, \beta) = c_1 \sigma_\alpha^2 + (a-1) \sigma_e^2$$

$$E SSE = (N-a-b+1) \sigma_e^2$$

Properties: unbiased

reduce to ANOVA for balanced

Difficulties: can be difficult to compute (i.e., inverting large matrices)

can have more equations than variance components

e.g., for 2-way random model, can use

$R(\alpha   \mu)$		$R(\beta   \mu)$		$R(\beta   \mu, \alpha)$
$R(\beta   \mu, \alpha)$	<u>OR</u>	$R(\alpha   \mu, \beta)$	<u>OR</u>	$R(\alpha   \mu, \beta)$
$R(\gamma   \mu, \alpha, \beta)$		$R(\gamma   \mu, \alpha, \beta)$		$R(\gamma   \mu, \alpha, \beta)$
<u>SSE</u>		<u>SSE</u>		<u>SSE</u>
<u><math>y'y - N\bar{y}^2</math></u>		<u><math>y'y - N\bar{y}^2</math></u>		

As a preliminary to other methods consider the general model

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{b} + \underline{Z}\underline{u} + \underline{e}$$

$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$   
 fixed    random

$$\begin{aligned} E(\underline{u}) &= \underline{0} & E(\underline{e}) &= \underline{0} \\ \text{var}(\underline{u}) &= \underline{D} & \text{var}(\underline{e}) &= \underline{R} \\ E(\underline{y}) &= \underline{X}\underline{b} \\ \text{var}(\underline{y}) &= \underline{Z}\underline{D}\underline{Z}' + \underline{R} \equiv \underline{V} \end{aligned}$$

GLS for  $\underline{b}$ :

$$\underline{X}'\underline{V}^{-1}\underline{X}\underline{b}^0 = \underline{X}'\underline{V}^{-1}\underline{y}$$

Difficulty:  $\underline{V}^{-1}$  of order N.

GLS for  $\underline{b}$  and  $\underline{u}$ , assuming  $\underline{u}$  fixed

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{X} & \underline{X}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{Z} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{X} & \underline{Z}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{Z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\tilde{b}} \\ \underline{\tilde{u}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

Amend equations by adding  $\underline{D}^{-1}$  to  $\underline{Z}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{Z}$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{X} & \underline{X}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{Z} \\ \underline{X}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{Z} & \underline{Z}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{Z} + \underline{D}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^* \\ \underline{u}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{R}^{-1}\underline{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

These are sometimes called the "mixed model equations".

Can show that  $\underline{b}^*$  is the GLS of  $\underline{b}$ : i.e.,  $\underline{b}^* = \underline{b}^0$

Special case:  $\underline{u} \equiv \alpha$ , a single random factor,  $\sigma_\alpha^2$ , and  $\text{var}(\underline{e}) = \sigma_e^2 \underline{I}$

Define  $\lambda = \sigma_e^2 / \sigma_\alpha^2$  and  $\underline{P} = \underline{Z}'\underline{Z} + \lambda \underline{I}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{X} & \underline{X}'\underline{Z} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{X} & \underline{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^* \\ \underline{u}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

### 3. Thompson's iterative method

Models with only 1 random factor; e.g., 2-way classification without interaction

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \beta_j + \alpha_i + e_{ijk}$$

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{b} + \underline{Z}\underline{\alpha} + \underline{e}$$

Fitting constants method: Based on

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{X} & \underline{X}'\underline{Z} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{X} & \underline{Z}'\underline{Z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^0 \\ \underline{\alpha}^0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{y} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } R(\mu, \alpha, \beta) = (\underline{b}^{0'} \quad \underline{\alpha}^{0'}) \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_e^2 = \frac{\underline{y}'\underline{y} - R(\mu, \alpha, \beta)}{N - a - b + 1} \quad \hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2 = \frac{R(\alpha|\mu, \beta) - (a-1)\sigma_e^2}{N - \sum \sum n_{ij}^2 / n_i.}$$

Cunningham and Henderson [1968]: Used mixed model equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{X} & \underline{X}'\underline{Z} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{X} & \underline{P} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^* \\ \underline{\alpha}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{y} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } R^*(\mu, \alpha, \beta) = (\underline{b}^{*'} \quad \underline{u}^{*'}) \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

and got

$$\hat{\sigma}_e^2 = \frac{\underline{y}'\underline{y} - R^*(\mu, \alpha, \beta)}{N - a - b + 1} \quad \hat{\sigma}_\alpha^2 = \frac{R^*(\mu, \alpha, \beta) - R(\mu, \beta) - (a-1)\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{N + a\lambda - \sum \sum n_{ij}^2 / n_i.}$$

Iterate on  $\lambda = \sigma_e^2 / \sigma_\alpha^2$  with  $\underline{P} \equiv \underline{Z}'\underline{Z} + \lambda \underline{I}$ .

Thompson's [1969] method:

Located error in expectations of Cunningham and Henderson; correction yields

$$\tilde{\sigma}_e^2 = \frac{\underline{y}'\underline{y} - R^*(\mu, \alpha, \beta)}{N - b} \quad \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha^2 = \frac{R^*(\mu, \alpha, \beta) - R(\mu, \beta)}{N - \sum \sum n_{ij}^2 / n_i.}$$

Iterate on  $\lambda = \sigma_e^2 / \sigma_\alpha^2$ .

Computing formulae for 2-way, no interaction: Searle [1973].

Extension to 2-way, with interaction: Corbeil and Searle [1973].

(This is an extension from 1 to 2 random factors.)



4. MINQUE (Four papers by C. R. Rao)

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{b} + \sum_{\theta=A}^{K+1} \underline{Z}_{\theta} \underline{u}_{\theta} \quad \theta = \text{factors A, B, } \dots, K, \text{ including interaction}$$

$$\underline{u}_{K+1} \equiv \underline{e}, \quad \underline{Z}_{K+1} \equiv \underline{I}_N$$

$$E(\underline{u}_{\theta}) = \underline{0}; \quad \text{var}(\underline{u}_{\theta}) = \sigma_{\theta}^2 \underline{I}_{N_{\theta}}; \quad \text{and } \text{cov}(\underline{u}_{\theta} \underline{u}'_{\varphi}) = \underline{0} \text{ for } \theta \neq \varphi.$$

$$\text{Notation: } \underline{V}_{\theta} = \underline{Z}_{\theta} \underline{Z}'_{\theta}; \quad \underline{W} = \sum_{\theta=A}^{K+1} \underline{V}_{\theta}; \quad (\text{Rao's } \underline{V}) \quad \underline{V} = \sum_{\theta=A}^{K+1} \sigma_{\theta}^2 \underline{V}_{\theta} \quad (\text{Rao's } \underline{V}^*)$$

Estimation: by quadratics  $\underline{y}' \underline{A} \underline{y}$  with  $\underline{A} \underline{X} = \underline{0}$  choosing  $\underline{A}$ :

MINQUE: to minimize  $2\text{tr}(\underline{V}\underline{A})^2 + \text{term in } \underline{A} \text{ and kurtosis parameters}$

[1971a, p. 268; 1972, p. 113]

Under normality minimize  $\text{tr}(\underline{V}\underline{A})^2$ : [1971b, pp. 447, 453].

$$\underline{R} = \underline{V}^{-1} - \underline{V}^{-1} \underline{X} (\underline{X}' \underline{V}^{-1} \underline{X})^{-1} \underline{X}' \underline{V}^{-1}$$

$$\underline{S} = \{s_{\theta\varphi}\} = \{\text{tr}(\underline{V}_{\theta} \underline{R} \underline{V}_{\varphi})\} \text{ for } \theta, \varphi = A, B, \dots, K+1$$

$$\underline{u} = \{u_{\theta}\} = \{\underline{y}' \underline{R} \underline{V}_{\theta} \underline{y}\} \text{ for } \theta = A, B, \dots, K+1$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \underline{S}^{-1} \underline{u}.$$

Iterate on  $\underline{\sigma}^2 = (\sigma_A^2 \ \sigma_B^2 \ \dots \ \sigma_K^2 \ \sigma_e^2)$ .

MINQUE: Use  $\underline{\sigma}^2 = \underline{1}$  without iterating; i.e., use  $\underline{W}$  for  $\underline{V}$  in  $\underline{R}$ .

[1971a, p. 268; 1972, p. 113]

Example of use: Maddala and Mount [1973]

Generalizations: LaMotte [1973]

## 5. Maximum likelihood

Use normality and same model as MINQUE:

$$y = \underline{X}b + \sum_{\theta=A}^K \underline{Z}_{\theta} u_{\theta} + e$$

Notation:

$$\gamma_{\theta} = \sigma_{\theta}^2 / \sigma_e^2 \quad \underline{H} = \underline{I}_N + \sum_{\theta=A}^K \gamma_{\theta} \underline{Z}_{\theta} \underline{Z}_{\theta}'$$

$$\text{var}(y) = \sigma_e^2 \underline{H} = \underline{V}.$$

Equations:

$$\underline{X}' \underline{H}^{-1} \underline{X} \underline{b} = \underline{X}' \underline{H}^{-1} y$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_e^2 = (y - \underline{X} \underline{b})' \underline{H}^{-1} (y - \underline{X} \underline{b}) / N$$

$$\text{tr}(\underline{H}^{-1} \underline{Z}_{\theta} \underline{Z}_{\theta}') = (y - \underline{X} \underline{b})' \underline{H}^{-1} \underline{Z}_{\theta} \underline{Z}_{\theta}' \underline{H}^{-1} (y - \underline{X} \underline{b}) / \tilde{\sigma}_e^2, \quad \text{for } \theta = A, \dots, K$$

For unbalanced data these equations have no solution; neither do they for some balanced data situations (e.g. 2-way crossed classification, random model, with interaction). Solutions must be confined to positive values.

History:

Hartley and Rao [1967]: Established equations, and solved (numerically) by steepest descent.

Hartley and Vaughn [1972]: Computer program, and small examples.

Harville [1975]: A comprehensive review.

Hemmerle and Hartley [1973]: Newton-Raphson, and a transformation.

Jennrich and Sampson [1976]: Discusses several algorithms.

Miller [1973]: Improved iterative procedure.

## 6. REML: Restricted Maximum Likelihood

Use normality and same model as ML and MINQUE:

$$\underline{y} = \underline{X}\underline{b} + \sum_{\theta=A}^K \underline{z}_{\theta} u_{\theta} + \underline{e}$$

Define  $\underline{b}$  so that  $\underline{X}$  has full column rank. An easy definition is  $\underline{b} \equiv$  vector of population means of the filled sub-most cells of the fixed effects factors.

$k$  = number of filled cells,  $n_t$  observations in  $t^{\text{th}}$ ,  $t = 1 \dots k$

$\underline{X} = \sum_{t=1}^{k+} \underline{1}_{n_t}$ , a direct (Kronecker) sum of  $\underline{1}$ -vectors

$$\underline{S} = \underline{I} - \underline{X}(\underline{X}'\underline{X})^{-1}\underline{X}' = \sum_{t=1}^{k+} \left( \underline{I}_{n_t} - \frac{1}{n_t} \underline{J}_{n_t} \right)$$

$\underline{T} = \underline{S}$  after deleting rows  $n_1, (n_1 + n_2), \dots, (n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k)$ .

$$\underline{z} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{T}\underline{y} \\ \underline{X}'\underline{H}^{-1}\underline{y} \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \underline{0} \\ \underline{X}'\underline{H}^{-1}\underline{X}\underline{b} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \underline{T}\underline{T}'\sigma_e^2 & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{X}'\underline{H}^{-1}\underline{X}\sigma_e^2 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

To estimate  $\sigma_e^2$ , maximize the likelihood of  $\underline{T}\underline{y}$ , which does not involve  $\underline{b}$ .

### History:

Patterson and Thompson [1971]: Initial ideas, confined to b.i.b. designs.

Hocking and Kutner [1975]: Simulations on a b.i.b. design.

Harville [1975]: Comprehensive review.

Corbeil and Searle [1976]: Generalization, and computing procedures using Hemmerle and Hartley [1973].

Corbeil and Searle [1977]: Analytic comparisons for balanced data and numeric comparisons for unbalanced data.

## 7. Relationships among Methods

- (1) ANOVA  $\equiv$  Henderson 1 (Definition).
- (2) ML estimators are ML solutions subject to non-negativity conditions.

### Balanced Data

- (3) ANOVA = Henderson 2 = Henderson 3 = REML = MINQUE (MIVQUE).
- (4) Some ML equations have no closed form solution. When solutions do exist, some (but not all) = ANOVA. (Differences occur in "degrees of freedom").

### Unbalanced Data

ML and MINQUE (MIVQUE) model:

$$\underline{y}_{N \times 1} = \underline{X}\underline{b} + \sum_{\theta=A}^K \underline{Z}_{\theta} \underline{u}_{\theta} + \underline{e}, \quad \text{with } \underline{u}_{\theta} \text{ order } n_{\theta} \times 1.$$

Henderson's mixed model equations (HME's)

$$\gamma_{\theta} = \sigma_{\theta}^2 / \sigma_e^2 \quad \underline{D} = \text{diag}\{\gamma_{\theta} \underline{I}_{n_{\theta}}\} \quad \theta = A, \dots, K$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{X} & \underline{X}'\underline{Z} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{X} & \underline{Z}'\underline{Z} + \underline{D}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^* \\ \underline{u}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

with solution

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{b}^* \\ \underline{u}^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{C}_{00} & \underline{C}_{01} \\ \underline{C}_{10} & \underline{C}_{11} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}'\underline{y} \\ \underline{Z}'\underline{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\underline{C}_{11} = \{\underline{C}_{\theta\phi}\} \quad \theta, \phi = A, \dots, K$$

$$\underline{u}^* = \{\underline{u}_{\theta}\} \quad \theta = A, \dots, K$$

# MINQUE (MIVQUE) and the HMME's

MINQUE equations

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = q, \text{ i.e. } \{s_{\theta\phi}\}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \{q_{\theta}\} \text{ for } \theta, \phi = A, \dots, K, e$$

are given by

$$(5) \quad s_{\theta\theta} = [\text{tr}(\underline{C}_{\theta\theta})/\gamma_{\theta}^2 - 2\text{tr}(\underline{C}_{\theta\theta})/\gamma_{\theta} + n_{\theta}]/\gamma_{\theta}^2$$

$$s_{\theta\phi} = \text{tr}(\underline{C}_{\theta\phi}\underline{C}_{\phi\theta})/\gamma_{\theta}^2\gamma_{\phi}^2$$

$$s_{\theta e} = s_{e\theta} = \text{tr}[\underline{C}_{\theta\theta} - \sum_{\phi=A}^K \text{tr}(\underline{C}_{\theta\phi}\underline{C}_{\phi\theta})/\gamma_{\phi}] / \gamma_{\theta}$$

$$s_{ee} = N - r(\text{HMME's}) + \sum_{\theta=A}^K \sum_{\phi=A}^K \text{tr}(\underline{C}_{\theta\phi}\underline{C}_{\phi\theta})/\gamma_{\theta}\gamma_{\phi}$$

$$q_{\theta} = \underline{u}_{\theta}^{*'} \underline{u}_{\theta}^{*} / \gamma_{\theta}^2$$

$$q_e = \underline{y}'\underline{y} - \underline{b}^{*'}\underline{x}'\underline{y} - \underline{u}^{*'}\underline{z}'\underline{y} - \sum_{\theta=A}^K \gamma_{\theta} q_{\theta}$$

## ML and the HMME's

(6) Iterate ML using

$$\hat{\sigma}_e^2 = \frac{\underline{y}'(\underline{y} - \underline{X}\underline{b}^{*} - \underline{Z}\underline{u}^{*})}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}_{\theta}^2 = \frac{\underline{u}_{\theta}^{*'} \underline{u}_{\theta}^{*}}{n_{\theta} - \text{tr}(\underline{T}_{\theta\theta})}$$

for  $(\underline{I} + \underline{Z}'\underline{Z}\underline{D})^{-1} = \{\underline{T}_{\theta\phi}\}, \theta, \phi = A, \dots, K.$

This iteration always gives positive estimators.

## REML and MINQUE (MIVQUE)

(7) REML equations = MINQUE equations.

(8) REML estimators = Iterative MINQUE estimators.

(9) First iterate of REML = A MINQUE estimator.

## History:

Patterson and Thompson [1971]: First indication of result for  $q_{\theta}$ , for b.i.b. design.

Henderson [1973]: Extended results, HMME's, ML and MINQUE.

La Motte [1973]: Indicated results for REML and MINQUE.

Schaeffer [1975]: Published same details HMME's and MINQUE.

Harville [1975]: Comprehensive review.

REFERENCES

0. Analysis of variance method (Henderson's Method 1)

(For random models but not mixed models)

Henderson, C. R. [1953]. Estimation of variance and covariance components. Biometrics 9, 226-52.

Searle, S. R. [1968]. Another look at Henderson's methods of estimating variance components. Biometrics 24, 749-88.

Searle, S. R. [1971a]. Linear Models, Wiley.

Searle, S. R. [1971b]. Topics in variance components estimation. Biometrics 27, 1-77.

1. Henderson's Method 2 (for models with no fixed-by-random interactions)

Henderson, C. R. [1953].

Searle, S. R. [1968, 1971a, 1971b].

Henderson, C. R., S. R. Searle and L. R. Schaeffer [1974]. The invariance and calculation of Method 2 for estimating variance components. Biometrics 30, 583-588.

2. Fitting constants method (Henderson's Method 3)

Henderson, C. R. [1953].

Searle, S. R. [1968, 1971a, 1971b].

3. Thompson's iterative method

Cunningham, E. P. and Henderson, C. R. [1968]. An iterative procedure for estimating fixed effects and variance components in mixed model situations. Biometrics 24, 13-25. Correction 25, 777-78.

Thompson, R. [1969]. Iterative estimation of variance components for non-orthogonal data. Biometrics 25, 767-73.

Searle, S. R. [1971a].

Searle, S. R. [1973]. Computing procedures for estimating variance components from unbalanced data in the 2-way crossed classification, no interaction, mixed model. Paper BU-450-M in the Biometrics Unit, Cornell University.

Corbeil, R. R. and Searle, S. R. [1973]. Iterative estimation of variance components in the 2-way crossed classification mixed model, with interaction, using unbalanced data. Paper BU-460-M in the Biometrics Unit, Cornell University.

#### 4. MINQUE

- LaMotte, L. R. [1973]. Quadratic estimation of variance components. Biometrics 29, 311-330.
- Maddala, G. S. and Mount, T. D. [1973]. Comparative study of alternative estimators for variance components models. J.A.S.A. 68, 324-328.
- Rao, C. R. [1970]. Estimation of heteroscedastic variances in linear models. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 65, 161-172.
- Rao, C. R. [1971a]. Estimation of variance and covariance components - MINQUE theory. J. Multivar. Anal. 1, 257-275.
- Rao, C. R. [1971b]. Minimum variance quadratic unbiased estimation of variance components. J. Multivar. Anal. 1, 445-456.
- Rao, C. R. [1972]. Estimation of variance and covariance components in linear models. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 67, 112-115.

#### 5. Maximum Likelihood

- Hartley, H. O. and Rao, J. N. K. [1967]. Maximum likelihood estimation for the mixed analysis of variance model. Biometrika 54, 93-108.
- Hartley, H. O. and Vaughn, W. K. [1972]. A computer program for the mixed analysis of variance model based on maximum likelihood. In Statistical Papers in Honor of George W. Snedecor, Ed., T. A. Bancroft, 129-144, Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Harville, D. A. [1975]. Maximum likelihood approaches to variance component estimation and related problems. Technical Report No. 75-0175, Aerospace Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
- Hemmerle, W. J. and Hartley, H. O. [1973]. Computing maximum likelihood estimates for the mixed A.O.V. model using the W-transformation. Technometrics 15, 819-832.
- Jennrich, R. I. and Sampson, P. F. [1976]. Newton-Raphson and related algorithms for maximum likelihood estimation of variance components. Technometrics, 18, 11-18.
- Miller, J. J. [1973]. Asymptotic properties and computation of maximum likelihood estimates in the mixed model of the analysis of variance. Tech. Rpt. #12, (NR-042-034), Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, California.

6. Restricted Maximum Likelihood

Corbeil, R. R. and Searle, S. R. [1976]. Restricted maximum likelihood (REML) estimation of variance components in the mixed model. Technometrics 18, 31-38.

Corbeil, R. R. and Searle, S. R. [1977]. A comparison of variance components estimators. Biometrics, (in press).

Harville, D. A. [1975].

Patterson, H. D. and Thompson, R. [1971]. Recovery of inter-block information when block sizes are unequal. Biometrika 58, 545-554.

7. Relationships among ML, REML and MINQUE

Harville, D. A. [1975].

Henderson, C. R. [1973]. (i) MINQUE of variance components; and (ii) Maximum likelihood estimation of variance components. Mimeo, Animal Science Department, Cornell University.

LaMotte [1973]. Quadratic estimation of variance components. Biometrics 29, 311-330.

Schaeffer, L. R. [1975]. Disconnectedness and variance component estimation. Biometrics 31, 969-977.